

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/702,439	KAMATA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

(2) Qingyu Yin.

**Status of Application: allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 January 2006

**Time:** AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112 2<sup>nd</sup> of claim 4*

Claims discussed:

*4, 11-21*

Prior art documents discussed:


*N/A*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner indicated that amendment of claim 4 to remove the word "fine" and cancellation of the non-elected claims would place the case into condition for allowance. Applicants agreed to the proposed changes.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)